Clifford A. Chanler, Bar No. 135534 1 Hudson T. Bair, State Bar No. 172593 2 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 3 JUL 25 2016 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 4 CLERK OF THE COURT Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 By: ROSIE NOGUERA 5 Deputy Clerk Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 PETER ENGLANDER 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 10 11 PETER ENGLANDER, Case No. CGC-16-549935 12 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT 13 **TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT 14 V. **JUDGMENT** CONBRACO INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES 15 1-150, inclusive, Date: July 25, 2016 16 Time: 9:30 a.m. Defendants. Dept.: 302 17 Judge: Hon. Harold E. Kahn 18 Reservation No. 06100725-09 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	In the above-entitled action, plaintiff Peter Englander and defendant Conbraco		
2	Industries, Inc. having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered		
3	pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and		
4	following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and		
5	Consent Judgment on:		
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to		
7	California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure		
8	§ 664.6, Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment		
9	attached hereto as Exhibit A. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain		
10	jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13			
14	Dated: JUL 2 5 2016 HAROLD KAHN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
15	HAROLD KAHN		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		
	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT		

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8	Clifford A. Chanler, Bar No. 135534 Hudson T. Bair, State Bar No. 172593 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff PETER ENGLANDER SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11		
12	PETER ENGLANDER,	Case No. CGC-16-549935
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	V.	PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	CONBRACO INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	·	
20		
21		
22		
23		•
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
40		
	. CONSENT JUI	OGMENT

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Peter Englander and Conbraco Industries, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Peter Englander ("Englander" or "Plaintiff"), and Conbraco Industries, Inc. ("Conbraco" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Peter Englander

Englander is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Conbraco Industries, Inc.

Englander alleges that Conbraco employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Englander alleges that Conbraco has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold tools with vinyl/PVC Grips containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is listed under Proposition 65 a chemical known to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The product that is covered by this Consent Judgment is a hand tool with vinyl/PVC grips to wit: the *Apollo Tools Poly Pipe Pinch Clamp Tool*, #194845, LWSPOLYPTK, (UPC#6 70750 20853 9), manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Conbraco, hereinafter specifically defined as "Covered Product."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On September 24, 2015, Englander served Conbraco and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on Conbraco's alleged failure

to warn consumers that the Covered Product exposed users in California to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On January 15, 2016, Englander filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Francisco against Conbraco and Does 1 through 150, *Englander v.Conbraco Industries, Inc.*, et al., Case No. CGC-16-549935 ("Complaint"), alleging violations of Proposition 65, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in Covered Product.

1.8 No Admission

Conbraco denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Englander's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed in California, including the Covered Product, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Conbraco of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Conbraco of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Conbraco's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment as to the Covered Product.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Conbraco as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Motion for Approval of the Consent Judgment is granted by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS</u>

Commencing on the Effective Date, Conbraco shall not ship or offer to be shipped for sale in California the Covered Product containing DEHP unless the Covered Product is sold or shipped with clear and reasonable warnings as set forth below. All units of the Covered Product already

shipped on or before the Effective Date, for which a civil penalty has been paid, are deemed to be covered by the waiver and release provisions of this Consent Judgment and shall not be subject to any enforcement action by Plaintiff other than that delineated in Paragraph 12 of this Consent Judgment.

With respect to the Covered Product sold or shipped with warnings, each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which *specific* Covered Product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion. For the Covered Product containing DEHP, Conbraco shall use the following warning language:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the state of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), and in settlement of all claims alleged in the Notice, Complaint, or referred to in this Consent Judgment, Conbraco agrees to pay seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500.00) in civil penalties. The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Englander, and delivered to the address in Section 3.3 herein. Within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, Conbraco shall provide its payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of five thousand six hundred twenty five dollars (\$5,625.00) and (b) "Peter Englander, Client Trust Account" in the amount of one thousand eight hundred seventy five dollars (\$1,875.00).

3.2 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Attorneys Fees and Costs

The Parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Englander and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed in this matter. Under these legal principles, Conbraco agrees to pay thirty-seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$37,500.00) to Englander and his counsel for all attorneys' fees and costs incurred investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of Conbraco's management, serving the 60 Day Notice, filing the Complaint, and negotiating and obtaining approval of this settlement, that provides a significant public benefit. Conbraco's payment of attorneys' fees and costs shall be due within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, and delivered to the address in Section 3.3 in the form of a check payable to "The Chanler Group."

3.3 Payment Procedures

All payments owed by Conbraco pursuant to Sections 3.1 and 3.2, shall be delivered within five (5) business days of the Effective Date in three separate checks payable to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Englander's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Englander acting on his own behalf and in the public interest releases Conbraco, its past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, assigns, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, stockholders, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Conbraco directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Product, including, but not limited, to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Releasees"), from all claims arising from any alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on their alleged failure to warn about alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Covered Product that were manufactured, distributed, or sold by Conbraco up through the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment including all claims that were raised, by

Englander in the Notice or Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

4.2 Englander's Individual Release of Claims

Englander also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein to all Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Covered Product manufactured, distributed or sold by Conbraco.

4.3 Conbraco's Release of Englander

Conbraco on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Englander, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Englander and his attorneys and other representatives prior to the Effective Date, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Covered Product.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed,

preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable by preemption or reason of law generally as to the Covered Product, then Conbraco shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Product is so affected.

NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party to the following addresses:

10

To Conbraco:

Glenn Mosack, President Conbraco Industries, Inc. 701 Matthews Mint Hill Road Matthews, NC 28105

To Englander: Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

With copies to:

William Funderburk, Esq. Anna Le May, Esq. CASTELLON & FUNDERBURK, LLP 811 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1025 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Steven DeGeorge Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A. 101 N. Tryon St., Suite 1900 Charlotte, NC 28246

23

24

25

26 27

28

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES 9.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Englander and his attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

11. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Englander and Conbraco agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Englander shall draft and file, and Conbraco shall join. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Englander and Conbraco shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

12. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

In the event any dispute between the Parties arises out of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in an attempt to resolve the dispute informally. If after thirty (30) days such attempts at informal resolution fail, the disputing party may pursue enforcement of this Consent Judgment through any legal means available including by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Court.

13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

///

///

1			
2	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
3	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
4	respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
5	Consent Judgment.		
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
7			
8	Date: _6/8/2016	Date:	
9	By: Part Colon	Ву:	
10	Plaintief, Peter Englander	Glenn Mosack, President Conbraco Industries, Inc.	
11		Conoraco muustres, nic.	
12			
13			
14			
15		•	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

CONSENT JUDGMENT

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
Consent Judgment.		
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
D.	Date: 6/10/2017	
Date:	Date: O FO FO	
Ву:	By: Was Rum	
Plaintiff, Peter Englander	Arthur Kramer, Director of Legal Affairs	
	Conbraco Industries, Inc.	